DATE RECV'D	OBS DUE	PLANNING REF. NO.	DETAILS OF APPLICATION	ADDRESS	OBSERVATIONS	DECISION
24/01/25	14/02/25	25/00066/FUL	Erection of a single storey front porch and two storey rear extension with juilet balcony	3 Witney Lane Edge Malpas	17/02/25 – no obs	APPROVED 01/04/25
04/02/25	25/02/25	24/03846/LBC	Replacement front door	Kidnal Cottage Wet Lane Overton Malpas	No obs	APPROVED 06/03/25
10/02/25	03/03/25	25/00216/PDQ	Prior approval under Class Q for the conversion of the existing building into six smaller dwellings	Land At Grid Ref 353103 348805 Bickley Town Lane Bickley Malpas	Email 06/03/25:- No Mans Heath and District Parish Council notes the proposed reduction from 8 dwellings to 6 dwellings. No Mans Heath and District Parish Council notes the comments made by the Planning Department in respect of the previous planning application 24/02731/PDQ:- "I refer to the above proposal and your recent submission of an application for prior notification of a change of use under Schedule 2, Part 3, Class Q of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015. Having considered the details of the proposed development, it is the view of the Council that it falls outside the scope of Permitted Development and therefore a planning application will be required. Reason: 1. The scale of operational work required to alter	Unknown

	. , , , , , ,
	gricultural building
to that of a dwe	
beyond what m	ght be sensibly or
reasonably des	cribed as a
conversion and	the change of use
	rmitted by Class
Q (c) and Q.1.	
	lo not constitute
	rmitted under the
	ass Q (c) of Part 3
of Schedule 2 of	` '
Country Plannii	
Permitted Deve	· ·
(England) Orde	• •
	this reason, under
, and the second se	f Paragraph W (3)
of this part, the	
recommended	
Teconimended in the continuence of the continuence	or rorusar.
No Mans Heat	and District
Parish Counci	
	cation based on
the following of	
	concerns remain.
	oncerns due to
	w road that
	s the site.
to be sub	ge Strategy needs
	tional of six
	would generate
	se in the
	e of waste water
	ed in policy 182
	'PF)
of the NF	
of the NF	and District

		submit the observations that	
		were previously submitted	
		1. The plans as drawn would	
		constitute over-development in	
		a small local community.	
		2. The road access is at the mid-	
		point of single track road with	
		no passing places and a	
		nearby blind bend and is	
		heavily used by large	
		agricultural and heavy goods	
		vehicles.	
		3. The site and road are	
		susceptible to frequent	
		flooding, being totally	
		surrounded by adjacent high	
		ground with the only exit for	
		ground water being a 4 ft wide	
		gap into a land drain.	
		4. The drainage along this lane is	
		inadequate for the current	
		traffic . Despite numerous	
		attempts to get both	
		Cholmondeley estate and	
		Highways to rectify this	
		situation, very little has been	
		done . The gulleys are blocked	
		at the top of the lane so water	
		runs down the lane, collecting	
		at the bottom . It then floods	
		the bottom where the only	
		outlet is a 3 foot wide open	
		ditch leading to a land drain.	
		5. In addition to local	
		residents the lane is used as	
		a " rat run" short cut	
		connecting Bickerton Road to	
		Someoning Dionorton Noad to	

delivery drivers - many travelling at speed, together with huge farm vehicles, who take up the whole width of the lane, also travelling at speeds unsuitable for the width and dangerous bends. 6. 6 x two and three bedroom houses will result in at least 12 additional cars on this already over used lane. There is no local bus within 1.5 miles of this location so all residents will need cars to travel to and from work and amenities. 7. The proposals only make provision for one car per property. It is therefore unacceptable to expect future residents to park their second car / visitors cars on an already narrow lane with limited passing places. 8. The proposed dwellings do not have gardens. As they are two and three bedroom proporties I assume they will be for families. Without gardens there is no place where children can play, other than on the lane. This is highly dangerous and will certainly result in accidents. 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four		the AAO by many leads and	
travelling at speed, together with huge farm vehicles, who take up the whole width of the lane, also travelling at speeds unsuitable for the width and dangerous bends. 6. 6 x Iwo and three bedroom houses will result in at least 12 additional cars on this already over used lane. There is no local bus within 1.5 miles of this location so all residents will need cars to travel to and from work and amenities. 7. The proposals only make provision for one car per property. It is therefore unacceptable to expect future residents to park their second car / visitors cars on an already narrow lane with limited passing places. 8. The proposed dwellings do not have gardens. As they are two and three bedroom properties I assume they will be for families. Without gardens then on place where children can play, other than on the lane. This is highly dangerous and will certainly result in accidents. 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four		the A49 by non locals and	
with huge farm vehicles, who take up the whole width of the lane, also travelling at speeds unsuitable for the width and dangerous bends. 6. 6 x two and three bedroom houses will result in at least 12 additional cars on this already over used lane. There is no local bus within 1.5 miles of this location so all residents will need cars to travel to and from work and amenities. 7. The proposals only make provision for one car per properly. It is therefore unacceptable to expect future residents to park their second car / visitors cars on an already narrow lane with limited passing places. 8. The proposed dwellings do not have gardens. As they are two and three bedroom properties I assume they will be for families. Without gardens there is no place where children can play, other than on the lane. This is highly dangerous and will certainly result in accidents. 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four			
take up fine whole width of the lane, also travelling at speeds unsuitable for the width and dangerous bends. 6. 6 x two and three bedroom houses will result in at least 12 additional cars on this already over used lane. There is no local bus within 1.5 miles of this location so all residents will need cars to travel to and from work and amenities. 7. The proposals only make provision for one car per property. It is therefore unacceptable to expect future residents to park their second car / visitors cars on an already narrow lane with limited passing places. 8. The proposed dwellings do not have gardens. As they are two and three bedroom properties! assume they will be for families. Without gardens there is no place where children can play, other than on the lane. This is highly dangerous and will certainly result in accidents. 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four			
lane, also travelling at speeds unsuitable for the width and dangerous bends. 6. 6 x two and three bedroom houses will result in a least 12 additional cars on this already over used lane. There is no local bus within 1.5 miles of this location so all residents will need cars to travel to and from work and amenities. 7. The proposals only make provision for one car per property. It is therefore unacceptable to expect future residents to park their second car / visitors cars on an already narrow lane with limited passing places. 8. The proposed dwellings do not have gardens. As they are two and three bedroom properties I assume they will be for families. Without gardens there is no place where children can play, other than on the lane. This is highly dangerous and will certainly result in accidents. 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four		•	
unsuitable for the width and dangerous bends. 6. 6 x two and three bedroom houses will result in at least 12 additional cars on this already over used lane. There is no local bus within 1.5 miles of this location so all residents will need cars to travel to and from work and amenities. 7. The proposals only make provision for one car per property. It is therefore unacceptable to expect future residents to park their second car / visitors cars on an already narrow lane with limited passing places. 8. The proposed dwellings do not have gardens. As they are two and three bedroom properties I assume they will be for families. Without gardens there is no place where children can play, other than on the lane. This is highly dangerous and will certainly result in accidents. 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four		•	
dangerous bends 6. 6 x two and three bedroom houses will result in at least 12 additional cars on this already over used lane. There is no local bus within 1.5 miles of this location so all residents will need cars to travel to and from work and amenities. 7. The proposals only make provision for one car per property. It is therefore unacceptable to expect future residents to park their second car / visitors cars on an already narrow lane with limited passing places. 8. The proposed dwellings do not have gardens. As they are two and three bedroom properties I assume they will be for families. Without gardens there is no place where children can play, other than on the lane. This is highly dangerous and will certainly result in accidents. 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four			
6. 6 x two and three bedroom houses will result in at least 12 additional cars on this already over used lane. There is no local bus within 1.5 miles of this location so all residents will need cars to travel to and from work and amenities. 7. The proposals only make provision for one car per property. It is therefore unacceptable to expect future residents to park their second car / visitors cars on an already narrow lane with limited passing places. 8. The proposed dwellings do not have gardens. As they are two and three bedroom properties! assume they will be for families. Without gardens there is no place where children can play, other than on the lane. This is highly dangerous and will certainly result in accidents. 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four		unsuitable for the width and	
houses will result in at least 12 additional cars on this already over used lane. There is no local bus within 1.5 miles of this location so all residents will need cars to travel to and from work and amenities. 7. The proposals only make provision for one car per property. It is therefore unacceptable to expect future residents to park their second car / visitors cars on an already narrow lane with limited passing places. 8. The proposed dwellings do not have gardens. As they are two and three bedroom properties I assume they will be for families. Without gardens there is no place where children can play, other than on the lane. This is highly dangerous and will certainly result in accidents. 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four		•	
additional cars on this already over used lane. There is no local bus within 1.5 miles of this location so all residents will need cars to travel to and from work and amenities. 7. The proposals only make provision for one car per property. It is therefore unacceptable to expect future residents to park their second car / visitors cars on an already narrow lane with limited passing places. 8. The proposed dwellings do not have gardens. As they are two and three bedroom properties I assume they will be for families. Without gardens there is no place where children can play, other than on the lane. This is highly dangerous and will certainly result in accidents. 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four			
over used lane. There is no local bus within 1.5 miles of this location so all residents will need cars to travel to and from work and amenities. 7. The proposals only make provision for one car per property. It is therefore unacceptable to expect future residents to park their second car / visitors cars on an already narrow lane with limited passing places. 8. The proposed dwellings do not have gardens. As they are two and three bedroom properties I assume they will be for families. Without gardens there is no place where children can play, other than on the lane. This is highly dangerous and will certainly result in accidents. 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four		houses will result in at least 12	
local bus within 1.5 miles of this location so all residents will need cars to travel to and from work and amenities. 7. The proposals only make provision for one car per property. It is therefore unacceptable to expect future residents to park their second car / visitors cars on an already narrow lane with limited passing places. 8. The proposed dwellings do not have gardens. As they are two and three bedroom properties I assume they will be for families. Without gardens there is no place where children can play, other than on the lane. This is highly dangerous and will certainly result in accidents. 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four		,	
this location so all residents will need cars to travel to and from work and amenities. 7. The proposals only make provision for one car per property. It is therefore unacceptable to expect future residents to park their second car / visitors cars on an already narrow lane with limited passing places. 8. The proposed dwellings do not have gardens. As they are two and three bedroom properties I assume they will be for families. Without gardens there is no place where children can play, other than on the lane. This is highly dangerous and will certainly result in accidents. 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four		over used lane. There is no	
will need cars to travel to and from work and amenities. 7. The proposals only make provision for one car per property. It is therefore unacceptable to expect future residents to park their second car / visitors cars on an already narrow lane with limited passing places. 8. The proposed dwellings do not have gardens. As they are two and three bedroom properties I assume they will be for families. Without gardens there is no place where children can play, other than on the lane. This is highly dangerous and will certainly result in accidents. 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four		local bus within 1.5 miles of	
from work and amenities. 7. The proposals only make provision for one car per property. It is therefore unacceptable to expect future residents to park their second car / visitors cars on an already narrow lane with limited passing places. 8. The proposed dwellings do not have gardens. As they are two and three bedroom properties I assume they will be for families. Without gardens there is no place where children can play, other than on the lane. This is highly dangerous and will certainly result in accidents. 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four		this location so all residents	
7. The proposals only make provision for one car per property. It is therefore unacceptable to expect future residents to park their second car / visitors cars on an already narrow lane with limited passing places. 8. The proposed dwellings do not have gardens. As they are two and three bedroom properties I assume they will be for families. Without gardens there is no place where children can play, other than on the lane. This is highly dangerous and will certainly result in accidents. 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four		will need cars to travel to and	
provision for one car per property. It is therefore unacceptable to expect future residents to park their second car / visitors cars on an already narrow lane with limited passing places. 8. The proposed dwellings do not have gardens. As they are two and three bedroom properties I assume they will be for families. Without gardens there is no place where children can play, other than on the lane. This is highly dangerous and will certainly result in accidents. 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four		from work and amenities.	
property . It is therefore unacceptable to expect future residents to park their second car / visitors cars on an already narrow lane with limited passing places. 8. The proposed dwellings do not have gardens . As they are two and three bedroom properties I assume they will be for families . Without gardens there is no place where children can play , other than on the lane. This is highly dangerous and will certainly result in accidents . 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four		7. The proposals only make	
unacceptable to expect future residents to park their second car / visitors cars on an already narrow lane with limited passing places. 8. The proposed dwellings do not have gardens. As they are two and three bedroom properties I assume they will be for families. Without gardens there is no place where children can play, other than on the lane. This is highly dangerous and will certainly result in accidents. 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four		provision for one car per	
residents to park their second car / visitors cars on an already narrow lane with limited passing places. 8. The proposed dwellings do not have gardens. As they are two and three bedroom properties I assume they will be for families. Without gardens there is no place where children can play, other than on the lane. This is highly dangerous and will certainly result in accidents. 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four		property . It is therefore	
car / visitors cars on an already narrow lane with limited passing places. 8. The proposed dwellings do not have gardens. As they are two and three bedroom properties I assume they will be for families. Without gardens there is no place where children can play, other than on the lane. This is highly dangerous and will certainly result in accidents. 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four		unacceptable to expect future	
already narrow lane with limited passing places. 8. The proposed dwellings do not have gardens. As they are two and three bedroom properties I assume they will be for families. Without gardens there is no place where children can play, other than on the lane. This is highly dangerous and will certainly result in accidents. 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four		residents to park their second	
limited passing places. 8. The proposed dwellings do not have gardens. As they are two and three bedroom properties I assume they will be for families. Without gardens there is no place where children can play, other than on the lane. This is highly dangerous and will certainly result in accidents. 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four		car / visitors cars on an	
8. The proposed dwellings do not have gardens. As they are two and three bedroom properties I assume they will be for families. Without gardens there is no place where children can play, other than on the lane. This is highly dangerous and will certainly result in accidents. 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four		already narrow lane with	
have gardens. As they are two and three bedroom properties I assume they will be for families. Without gardens there is no place where children can play, other than on the lane. This is highly dangerous and will certainly result in accidents. 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four		limited passing places.	
two and three bedroom properties I assume they will be for families . Without gardens there is no place where children can play , other than on the lane. This is highly dangerous and will certainly result in accidents . 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four		8. The proposed dwellings do not	
properties I assume they will be for families . Without gardens there is no place where children can play , other than on the lane. This is highly dangerous and will certainly result in accidents . 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four		have gardens . As they are	
be for families . Without gardens there is no place where children can play , other than on the lane. This is highly dangerous and will certainly result in accidents . 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four		two and three bedroom	
gardens there is no place where children can play, other than on the lane. This is highly dangerous and will certainly result in accidents. 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four		properties I assume they will	
where children can play, other than on the lane. This is highly dangerous and will certainly result in accidents. 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four		be for families . Without	
than on the lane. This is highly dangerous and will certainly result in accidents. 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four		gardens there is no place	
dangerous and will certainly result in accidents . 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four		where children can play , other	
dangerous and will certainly result in accidents . 9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four		than on the lane. This is highly	
9. If the development was reduced to a maximum of four		dangerous and will certainly	
reduced to a maximum of four		result in accidents .	
		9. If the development was	
dwellings , each with at least		reduced to a maximum of four	
		dwellings , each with at least	

					two parking spaces and small gardens, together with an agreement that all drainage issues would be rectified then this may be a more workable and acceptable proposition.	
24/04/25	17/05/25	24/02559/FUL	Erection of one dwelling with detached garage and associated works	Land At Coach Road Duckington Malpas	Email 27/05/25:- The Council has no observations other than to ensure that any local drainage issues are resolved.	PENDING
28/04/25	22/05/25	25/00813/FUL	Alterations to dropped kerb, and driveway entrance	The Hollies 47 Cross O Th Hill Road Malpas	No observations.	APPROVED 02/07/25
07/05/25	28/05/25	22/00224/FUL Amendment / additional information	The construction, operation, management and decommissioning of a ground mounted solar farm	Chads Farm Barhill Drive Malpas	Email 27/05/25:- Observations:- The Council accepted the planning application in principle providing the following factors are taken into consideration and addressed by the Planning Department:- 1/ Flood Risk Assessment:- The Council understands that the planning application was using old data for the Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) which has already been rejected by the Lead Local Flood Authority as a fail and therefore the current size of the development is inaccurate since it needs to be curtailed. This was raised with the Applicant at a recent meeting with the Parish Council and they	PENDING

agrand that we distance to the
agreed that revisions to the
application were in process and
that the scale of the site would
inevitably be curtailed.
The Parish Council received an
inconclusive response from the
Applicant when it was called out
for declaring the site to be of low
vulnerability, yet it lies within 3
no. sites of high vulnerability:
"You mentioned in your FRA
point 4.2 that the NPPF requires
a sequential test, i.e. "Flood risk
potential is minimised by applying
a 'sequential approach' to
locating 'vulnerable' land use, but
there isn't one, and there in no
reference to your site overlapping
three Nitrate Vulnerable Zones
(NVZ), i.e. River Weaver/Dane to
Frodsham, Eutrophic Water
EL140 - Bar Mere Eutrophic lake
NVZ and Marbury's NVZ. There
are rules for land management to
prevent water pollution."
2/ Cheshire Wildlife Trust:-
The Parish Council noted that
there was no evidence in the
planning application towards
Canadian geese and other large
wading birds. These birds need a
large field prior to Bar Mere to
assess the mere for predators
prior to making their final
settlement on the mere, this
approaching safe pathway will be
the development site.
the development ene.

that the Applicant is in dialogue with the Cheshire Wildlife Trust (CWT) to address this concern and that they are also going to make provision to create habitats for large fowl which will offset some of their ecology problems, requesting the names of local charitles from the Parish Council (e.g. Broxton Barn Owl Trust.) 3/ Environmental Agency / Drainage / Flooding: The Parish Council referred the Applicant to their BRE references throughout their planning application, e.g. BRE - Planning guidance for the development of large scale ground mounted solar PV systems. Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDs) is not considered here because the applicant deems the impermeable areas imposed by their structures as "insignificant." BRE states access tracks should be permeable, but this will be compacted, i.e. substantially impermeable. The planning application states that "permeable paving is not appropriate for the proposed development" However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE also states that sees and	1	The Desire Co. 11 1 1 1
with the Cheshire Wildlife Trust (CWT) to address this concern and that they are also going to make provision to create habitats for large fowl which will offset some of their ecology problems, requesting the names of local charities from the Parish Council (e.g. Broxton Barn Owl Trust.) 3/ Environmental Agency / Drainage / Flooding:- The Parish Council referred the Applicant to their BRE references throughout their planning application, e.g. BRE - Planning guidance for the development of large scale ground mounted solar PV systems. Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDs) is not considered here because the applicant deems the impermeable areas imposed by their structures as "insignificant". BRE states access tracks should be permeable, but this will be compacted, i.e. substantially impermeable. The planning application states that "permeable paving is not appropriate for the proposed development" However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE		The Parish Council understands
(CWT) to address this concern and that they are also going to make provision to create habitats for large fowl which will offset some of their ecology problems, requesting the names of local charitites from the Parish Council (e.g. Broxton Barn Owl Trust.) 3/ Environmental Agency / Drainage / Flooding: The Parish Council referred the Applicant to their BRE references throughout their planning application, e.g. BRE - Planning guidance for the development of large scale ground mounted solar PV systems. Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDs) is not considered here because the applicant deems the impermeable areas imposed by their structures as "insignificant". BRE states access tracks should be permeable, but this will be compacted, i.e. substantially impermeable. The planning application states that "permeable paving is not appropriate for the proposed development". However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE		
and that they are also going to make provision to create habitats for large fowl which will offset some of their ecology problems, requesting the names of local charities from the Parish Council (e.g. Broxton Barn Owl Trust.) 3' Environmental Agency / Drainage / Flooding: The Parish Council referred the Applicant to their BRE references throughout their planning application, e.g. BRE - Planning guidance for the development of large scale ground mounted solar PV systems. Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDs) is not considered here because the applicant deems the impermeable areas imposed by their structures as "insignificant". BRE states access tracks should be permeable, but this will be compacted, i.e. substantially impermeable. The planning application states that "permeable paving is not appropriate for the proposed development" However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE		
make provision to create habitats for large fowl which will offset some of their ecology problems, requesting the names of local charities from the Parish Council (e.g. Broxton Barn Owl Trust.) 3/ Environmental Agency / Drainage / Flooding: The Parish Council referred the Applicant to their BRE references throughout their planning application, e.g. BRE - Planning guidance for the development of large scale ground mounted solar PV systems. Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDs) is not considered here because the applicant deems the impermeable areas imposed by their structures as "insignificant". BRE states access tracks should be permeable, but this will be compacted, i.e. substantially impermeable. The planning application states that "permeable paying is not appropriate for the proposed development" However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE		· · ·
for large fowl which will offset some of their ecology problems, requesting the names of local charities from the Parish Council (e.g. Broxton Barn Owl Trust.) 3/ Environmental Agency / Drainage / Flooding: The Parish Council referred the Applicant to their BRE references throughout their planning application, e.g. BRE - Planning guidance for the development of large scale ground mounted solar PV systems. Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDs) is not considered here because the applicant deems the impermeable areas imposed by their structures as "insignificant". BRE states access tracks should be permeable, but this will be compacted, i.e. substantially impermeable. The planning application states that "permeable paving is not appropriate for the proposed development" However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE		and that they are also going to
some of their ecology problems, requesting the names of local charities from the Parish Council (e.g., Broxton Barn Owl Trust.) 3/ Environmental Agency / Drainage / Flooding: The Parish Council referred the Applicant to their BRE references throughout their planning application, e.g. BRE - Planning guidance for the development of large scale ground mounted solar PV systems. Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDs) is not considered here because the applicant deems the impermeable areas imposed by their structures as "insignificant". BRE states access tracks should be permeable, but this will be compacted, i.e. substantially impermeable. The planning application states that "permeable paving is not appropriate for the proposed development". However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE		make provision to create habitats
requesting the names of local charities from the Parish Council (e.g. Broxton Barn Owl Trust.) 3/ Environmental Agency / Drainage / Flooding: The Parish Council referred the Applicant to their BRE references throughout their planning application, e.g. BRE - Planning guidance for the development of large scale ground mounted solar PV systems. Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDs) is not considered here because the applicant deems the impermeable areas imposed by their structures as "insignificant". BRE states access tracks should be permeable, but this will be compacted, i.e. substantially impermeable. The planning application states that "permeable paving is not appropriate for the proposed development" However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE		for large fowl which will offset
charities from the Parish Council (e.g. Broxton Barn Owl Trust.) 3/ Environmental Agency / Drainage / Flooding:- The Parish Council referred the Applicant to their BRE references throughout their planning application, e.g. BRE - Planning guidance for the development of large scale ground mounted solar PV systems. Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDs) is not considered here because the applicant deems the impermeable areas imposed by their structures as "insignificant". BRE states access tracks should be permeable, but this will be compacted, i.e. substantially impermeable. The planning application states that "permeable paving is not appropriate for the proposed development" However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially In a floodplain). BRE		some of their ecology problems,
(e.g. Broxton Barn Owl Trust.) 3/ Environmental Agency / Drainage / Flooding: The Parish Council referred the Applicant to their BRE references throughout their planning application, e.g. BRE - Planning guidance for the development of large scale ground mounted solar PV systems. Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDs) is not considered here because the applicant deems the impermeable areas imposed by their structures as "insignificant". BRE states access tracks should be permeable, but this will be compacted, i.e. substantially impermeable. The planning application states that "permeable paving is not appropriate for the proposed development" However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE		requesting the names of local
3/ Environmental Agency / Drainage / Flooding: The Parish Council referred the Applicant to their BRE references throughout their planning application, e.g. BRE - Planning guidance for the development of large scale ground mounted solar PV systems. Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDs) is not considered here because the applicant deems the impermeable areas imposed by their structures as "insignificant". BRE states access tracks should be permeable, but this will be compacted, i.e. substantially impermeable. The planning application states that "permeable paving is not appropriate for the proposed development" However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE		charities from the Parish Council
Drainage / Flooding: The Parish Council referred the Applicant to their BRE references throughout their planning application, e.g. BRE - Planning guidance for the development of large scale ground mounted solar PV systems. Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDs) is not considered here because the applicant deems the impermeable areas imposed by their structures as "insignificant". BRE states access tracks should be permeable, but this will be compacted, i.e. substantially impermeable. The planning application states that "permeable paving is not appropriate for the proposed development" However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE		(e.g. Broxton Barn Owl Trust.)
The Parish Council referred the Applicant to their BRE references throughout their planning application, e.g. BRE - Planning guidance for the development of large scale ground mounted solar PV systems. Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDs) is not considered here because the applicant deems the impermeable areas imposed by their structures as "insignificant". BRE states access tracks should be permeable, but this will be compacted, i.e. substantially impermeable. The planning application states that "permeable paving is not appropriate for the proposed development". However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE		3/ <u>Environmental Agency /</u>
Applicant to their BRE references throughout their planning application, e.g. BRE - Planning guidance for the development of large scale ground mounted solar PV systems. Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDs) is not considered here because the applicant deems the impermeable areas imposed by their structures as "insignificant". BRE states access tracks should be permeable, but this will be compacted, i.e. substantially impermeable. The planning application states that "permeable paving is not appropriate for the proposed development". However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE		
throughout their planning application, e.g. BRE - Planning guidance for the development of large scale ground mounted solar PV systems. Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDs) is not considered here because the applicant deems the impermeable areas imposed by their structures as "insignificant". BRE states access tracks should be permeable, but this will be compacted, i.e. substantially impermeable. The planning application states that "permeable paving is not appropriate for the proposed development" However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE		The Parish Council referred the
application, e.g. BRE - Planning guidance for the development of large scale ground mounted solar PV systems. Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDs) is not considered here because the applicant deems the impermeable areas imposed by their structures as "insignificant". BRE states access tracks should be permeable, but this will be compacted, i.e. substantially impermeable. The planning application states that "permeable paving is not appropriate for the proposed development" However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE		Applicant to their BRE references
guidance for the development of large scale ground mounted solar PV systems. Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDs) is not considered here because the applicant deems the impermeable areas imposed by their structures as "insignificant". BRE states access tracks should be permeable, but this will be compacted, i.e. substantially impermeable. The planning application states that "permeable paving is not appropriate for the proposed development" However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE		throughout their planning
large scale ground mounted solar PV systems. Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDs) is not considered here because the applicant deems the impermeable areas imposed by their structures as "insignificant". BRE states access tracks should be permeable, but this will be compacted, i.e. substantially impermeable. The planning application states that "permeable paving is not appropriate for the proposed development" However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE		application, e.g. BRE - Planning
PV systems. Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDs) is not considered here because the applicant deems the impermeable areas imposed by their structures as "insignificant". BRE states access tracks should be permeable, but this will be compacted, i.e. substantially impermeable. The planning application states that "permeable paving is not appropriate for the proposed development" However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE		guidance for the development of
Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDs) is not considered here because the applicant deems the impermeable areas imposed by their structures as "insignificant". BRE states access tracks should be permeable, but this will be compacted, i.e. substantially impermeable. The planning application states that "permeable paving is not appropriate for the proposed development" However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE		large scale ground mounted solar
Systems (SUDs) is not considered here because the applicant deems the impermeable areas imposed by their structures as "insignificant". BRE states access tracks should be permeable, but this will be compacted, i.e. substantially impermeable. The planning application states that "permeable paving is not appropriate for the proposed development" However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE		PV systems.
considered here because the applicant deems the impermeable areas imposed by their structures as "insignificant". BRE states access tracks should be permeable, but this will be compacted, i.e. substantially impermeable. The planning application states that "permeable paving is not appropriate for the proposed development" However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE		Sustainable Urban Drainage
applicant deems the impermeable areas imposed by their structures as "insignificant". BRE states access tracks should be permeable, but this will be compacted, i.e. substantially impermeable. The planning application states that "permeable paving is not appropriate for the proposed development" However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE		Systems (SUDs) is not
areas imposed by their structures as "insignificant". BRE states access tracks should be permeable, but this will be compacted, i.e. substantially impermeable. The planning application states that "permeable paving is not appropriate for the proposed development" However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE		considered here because the
as "insignificant". BRE states access tracks should be permeable, but this will be compacted, i.e. substantially impermeable. The planning application states that "permeable paving is not appropriate for the proposed development" However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE		applicant deems the impermeable
access tracks should be permeable, but this will be compacted, i.e. substantially impermeable. The planning application states that "permeable paving is not appropriate for the proposed development" However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE		
permeable, but this will be compacted, i.e. substantially impermeable. The planning application states that "permeable paving is not appropriate for the proposed development" However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE		as "insignificant". BRE states
compacted, i.e. substantially impermeable. The planning application states that "permeable paving is not appropriate for the proposed development" However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE		
impermeable. The planning application states that "permeable paving is not appropriate for the proposed development" However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE		permeable, but this will be
The planning application states that "permeable paving is not appropriate for the proposed development" However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE		
that "permeable paving is not appropriate for the proposed development" However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE		· ·
appropriate for the proposed development" However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE		The planning application states
development" However, this means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE		that "permeable paving is not
means you can't use SUDS (especially in a floodplain). BRE		
(especially in a floodplain). BRE		
also states that swales and		(especially in a floodplain). BRE
		also states that swales and

infiltration are to be used to
"control" flows, therefore can this
be proved by calculations and
SUDS implemented fully,
especially on a Flood Plain?
The Parish Council understands
from the Applicant that dialogue
is ongoing and revisions are to be
made.
4/ Decommissioning of the
Site:-
Concerns were raised regarding
the robustness of the
decommissioning agreement in
place for the site at the end of the
solar panels lifespan in 40 years
time.
The Parish Council wish to
request that this is addressed as
a condition of the planning
consent should the application be
approved.
5/ <u>Design of Panels:-</u>
Concerns were raised regarding
the design assessment of the
solar panels as to whether
consideration has been factored
in for extreme weather conditions
over the lifespan.
The Parish Council wish to
request that this is addressed as
a condition of the planning
consent should the application be
approved.
6/ <u>Community Engagement:-</u>

					The Parish Council noted that there were delays with responses from the applicants.	
23/05/25	14/06/25	24/02991/FUL	Erection of new building to cover an animal gathering area of a steel framed building infilling between 3 existing buildings	Kidnal House Wet Lane Overton Malpas	Email 03/06/25:- The Council notes that this application is contradictory in its application: The applicant declares not to increase flood risk elsewhere, but simultaneously increase the amount of surface water draining to the local "watercourse" as their means of surface water disposal. The applicant hasn't applied the four pillars of SuDS in this application, which is mandatory especially under NPPF policy no. 182 for all planning applications since 12dec24. In addition, the basic drainage strategy as outlined in the SuDS manual is to use the SuDS hierarchy for disposing of surface water, which this applicant hasn't considered at all, i.e. firstly to prove that infiltration, either above or below ground, isn't valid here, which is impossible since everyone can use above ground bio-retention, e.g. planters, or rainwater harvesting, e.g. water butts. NMHD PC request that this application should be refused on the above basis alone because it	APPROVED 22-09-25

02/07/25	16/07/25	25/02001/PIP	Demolition of an existing public house and the erection of up to 9 dwellings	Wheatsheaf Inn Chester Road Nomans Heath Malpas SY14 8DY	uses pre-2007 drainage techniques of disposing their surface water off site to become someone else's problem later on. See report	
08/07/25	29/07/25	25/01777/LBC	Alterations to the front and side elevation comprising 2 no. wall mounted boxes, 1 no. soil vent pipe, 1 no. obscured film attached to side elevation window and 1 no. outside light	2 Gate House Barns Mates Lane Edge Malpas	Email 29/07/25:- No Mans Heath and District PC does not offer support for a private development. The Council notes that this planning application does not appear to represent the work already completed and suggest that the CWaC's Planning Department / Committee looks deeply into what has already been completed without their prior approval.	APPROVED 18/08/25
11/07/25	01/08/25	25/01759/LBW	Removal of internal stud wall	Brasseys Contract Cottage Brasseys Contract Road Edge Malpas	No observations made.	APPROVED 24/09/25
14/08/25	05/09/25	22/00224/FUL	The construction, operation, management and decommissioning of a ground mounted solar farm.	Chads Farm Barhill Drive Malpas	No observations made.	PENDING
14/08/25	05/09/25	25/01883/FUL	Two storey extension to replace existing single storey outbuilding, and replacement detached garage	The Fields Hetherson Green Lane Bickley	No observations made.	PENDING

24/08/25	17/09/25	25/02398/FUL	Agricultural cattle building and attenuation pond for surface water (retrospective)	Hollowood Farmhouse Mates Lane Edge Malpas	No observations made.	PENDING
12/09/25	03/10/25	25/02563/FUL	Single storey extension to dwelling	6 Edge Grange Barns Grange Lane Edge Malpas	No observations made.	APPROVED 13/10/25
23/09/25	14/10/25	25/02941/P14	Installing 136 530w panels with a 60kW inverter and 36.18kWh of battery storage on an existing barn roof	Cross Lanes Farm Grotsworth Lane Bickley Malpas	No observations made.	APPROVED 23/10/25
15/10/25	05/11/25	25/02951/FUL	Conversion of existing building into a dwelling	Dairy House Brasseys Contract Road Edge Malpas	No observations made.	PENDING